PATENT COOPERATION TREATY From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: PAUL FENSTER PCT FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002 THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44,1) Date of mailing 06 SEP 2006 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below 489/04977 International application No. International filing date PCT/II.05/01318 (day/month/year) 07 December 2005 (07.12.2005) Applicant TYLERTON INTERNATIONAL INC. The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46): The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 338.82.70. For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices. In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months. See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site. Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Authorized officer Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US 01 Commissioner for Patents Michael Brown P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 R C C 1 V & Delephone No. 571-272-4972 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004) Docketed Bu (See notes on accompanying sheet)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 489/04977	FOR FURTHER see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.		
International application No. PCT/IL05/01318	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 December 2005 (07.12.2005)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)	
Applicant TYLERTON INTERNATIONAL INC.		······································	
This international search report consists of the Report a. With regard to the language, the international a translation of the of a translation furb. With regard to any nucleotid constant to the language of the of a translation furb. With regard to any nucleotid constant claims were found to the language of the international and the language of the international and the language of the language of the international and the language of the language of the international and the language of the language o	by a copy of each prior art document cited international search was carried out on the base application in the language in which it was file international application into	in this report. is of: d, which is the language h (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))	
6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be put as suggested by the a	according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as the date of mailing of this international search ablished with the abstract is Figure No. 2B	report, submit comments to this Authority.	
b. none of the figures is to be pu	thority, because this figure better characterize blished with the abstract.	s the invention.	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

A. CI	LASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		PCT/IL05/01318	
IPC:	A61H 1/00(2006.01)			
USPC: According	601/5 to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to bo	oth national classification and	IPC	
B. FIE	ELDS SEARCHED			
1				
U.S. :	documentation searched (classification system follow 601/1, 5; 318/568.1	wed by classification symbols)	1	
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to	the extent that such documer	nts are included in the fields	searched
Electronic d	lata base consulted during the international search (r	name of data base and, where	praeticable, search terms us	ed)
C. DOC	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, when	TC appropriate of the relative		
X	US, 6,246,200 B1 (BLUMENKRANZ et al.) 12 J	fune 2001. See the auti	passages Relevan	t to claim N
	1	and 2001, See the entire docu	ment	1-59
i				
		•		
*				
1.				
\neg				
Further d	ocuments are listed in the continuation of Box C.			
Sac	- C. BOX C.	See patent famil	Vannev	
	ecial categories of cited documents:	See patent famil		
" document de particular re	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance	"T" later document publi date and not in confi principle or theory u	y annex. ished after the international filing dat lict with the application but cited to a nderlying the invention	e or priority inderstand the
" document de particular re carlier applie	edial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date	"I" later document publicate and not in confidence or theory u "X" document of particular and pa	ished after the international filing dat lict with the application but cited to a inderlying the invention	understand the
" document de particular re carlier applic	efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date	"I" later document publicate and not in confidence or theory u "X" document of particular and pa	ished after the international filing dat lict with the application but cited to in underlying the invention for relevance; the claimed invention of	understand the
" document de particular re carlier applic	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance	"Y" later document publicate and not in confidence or theory under the considered novel or when the document of particulary document of particulary document of particulary."	ished after the international filing dat lict with the application but cited to a inderlying the invention far relevance: the claimed invention of cannot be considered to involve an in- istaken alone	enderstand the cannot be hiventive step
document de particular re carlier applic document wi establish the specified)	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"I" later document publicate and not in confidence or theory under the considered novel or when the document of particular document of particular considered to involve with one or more other.	ished after the international filing dat lict with the application but cited to a inderlying the invention for relevance; the claimed invention of cannot be considered to involve an it is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention of ean inventive step when the documents	cannot be nventive step
document de particular re carlier applie document wi establish the specified) document ref document put priority date c	edial categories of cited documents: edining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed	"Y" later document publicate and not in configuration or theory under the document of particular considered novel or when the document of particular considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person.	ished after the international filing dat lict with the application but cited to a inderlying the invention for relevance; the claimed invention of cannot be considered to involve an it is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention of ean inventive step when the documents	enderstand the cannot be nventive step
document de particular re carlier applic document wi establish the specified) document ref document pur priority date ce of the actual	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed al completion of the international search	"Y" later document publicate and not in confidence or theory under the document of particular considered novel or when the document of particular considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person such document member of	ished after the international filing data lict with the application but cited to a inderlying the invention far relevance; the claimed invention of cannot be considered to involve an in- is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention of an inventive step when the document or such documents, such combination killed in the art	cannot be nventive step
document de particular re carlier applie document wiestablish the specified) document ref document pur priority date ce of the actual July 2006 (18	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed al completion of the international search 3.07,2006)	"Y" later document publicate and not in configuration or theory under the document of particular considered novel or when the document of particular considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person.	ished after the international filing data lict with the application but cited to a inderlying the invention far relevance: the claimed invention of cannot be considered to involve an it is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention of the an inventive step when the document or an inventive step when the document or such documents, such combination killed in the art the same patent family	eannot be needed to be needed to be not be not is combined not being
document de particular re carlier applie document wi establish the specified) document ref document pui priority date coe of the actual July 2006 (18 me and mailin	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed al completion of the international search 3.07.2006) 12 address of the ISA/US	"I" later document publicate and not in confiprinciple or theory u "X" document of particult considered novel or when the document of particult considered to involve with one or more oth obvious to a person s "&" document member of Date of mailing of the internal Authorized officer	ished after the international filing data lict with the application but cited to a inderlying the invention far relevance; the claimed invention of cannot be considered to involve an in- is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention of an inventive step when the document or such documents, such combination killed in the art	eannot be needed to be needed to be not be not is combined not being
document de particular re carlier applie document wiestablish the specified) document particular priority date coe of the actual fully 2006 (18) me and mailin Mail St. Commis	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed all completion of the international search 3.07,2006) ng address of the ISA/US op PCT, Attn: ISA/US ssioner for Patents	"I" later document publicate and not in confiprinciple or theory u "X" document of particult considered novel or when the document of particult considered to involve with one or more oth obvious to a person s "&" document member of Date of mailing of the internal Authorized officer	ished after the international filing data lict with the application but cited to a inderlying the invention far relevance: the claimed invention of cannot be considered to involve an it is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention of the an inventive step when the document or an inventive step when the document or such documents, such combination killed in the art the same patent family	eannot be niventive step annot be nt is combined n being
document de particular re carlier applie document we establish the specified) document put priority date con the actual fully 2006 (18) The and mail in Mail Str. Commis P.O. Bo	edining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed all completion of the international search 3.07,2006) ng address of the ISA/US op PCT, Attn: ISA/US sesioner for Patents is 450	"Y" document of particult considered novel or when the document of particult considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person state of mailing of the interest of the considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person state of mailing of the interest of the considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person state of mailing of the interest of the considered officer. Authorized officer	ished after the international filing data that with the application but cited to anderlying the invention of the considered to invention of the considered to involve an it is taken afone. ar relevance; the claimed invention of the considered to involve an it is taken afone. ar relevance; the claimed invention of the considered invention of the construction of th	cannot be needed to be needed to be not be not is combined not being
document de particular re carlier applie document wiestablish the specified) document ref document put priority date ce of the actua fully 2006 (18 me and maillin Mail Stu Commis P.O. Bo Alexand imile No. (5)	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed all completion of the international search 3.07,2006) ng address of the ISA/US op PCT, Attn: ISA/US ssioner for Patents	"I" later document publicate and not in confiprinciple or theory u "X" document of particult considered novel or when the document of particult considered to involve with one or more oth obvious to a person s "&" document member of Date of mailing of the internal Authorized officer	ished after the international filing data that with the application but cited to anderlying the invention of the considered to invention of the considered to involve an it is taken afone. ar relevance; the claimed invention of the considered to involve an it is taken afone. ar relevance; the claimed invention of the considered invention of the construction of th	cannot be needed to be needed to be not be not is combined not being

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL05/01318

Box IV TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of Item 5 of the first sheet)			
NEW ABSTRACT: A wellness apparatus (102), including at least one support (104), for a body portion of a user of the apparatus, at least one robotic actuator (106), adapted to move the body portion; and a controller (120), configured to control the actuator in accordance with needs for physical exercise, the controller is also adapted for assisting the user with primarily non-physical exercise activity.			
	:		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERN	ATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTH	ORITY		
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE			
					TIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
			ĺ	Date of mailing (day/month/yea	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
489/0497					See paragraph 2 below
i	International application No. International filing date				Priority date (day/month/year)
	PCT/IL05/01318 07 December 2005 (07.1 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification		07 December 2005 (07.1	2.2005)	04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)
IPC:	A61H 1/00(200		t bout national classificati	on and IPC	
USPC:	601/5	U.U1)			
Applican	•		<u> </u>		
TYLERT	ON INTERNATI	ONAL INC.			
1. This	opinion contains i	indications relat	ing to the following items	:	
\boxtimes	Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
	Box No. II Priority				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV				
\boxtimes	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain docum		_	
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internationa	l application	
2. FURT	THER ACTION	V			
If a de Interna Author	emand for interna ational Prelimina rity other than thi	itional preliminary Examining as one to be the	Authority ("IPEA") exce	pt that this doe EA has notified t	l be considered to be a written opinion of the s not apply where the applicant chooses an he International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) dered.
of Forn	·	r before the expi	iration of 22 months from	INIS DEFORE the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the spiration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.
3. For furt	ther details, see no	otes to Form PC	T/ISA/220.		
Name and n	Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer				Authorized officer
Ма Со	ail Stop PCT, Attn: mmissioner for Pate	ISA/US ents	18 July 2006 (18.0	-	Michael Brown
P.C	D. Box 1450		10 7413 2000 (16.0	1.2000)	V. elly
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 acsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Telephone No. 571-272-4972					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/IL05/01318

Box	No. I Basis of this opinion
1. Wit	the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With inve	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
	SA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL05/01318

1. Statement	eplanations supporting such statement	
Novelty (N)	Claims <u>NONE</u> Claims <u>1-59</u>	YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u> Claims <u>1-59</u>	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-59</u> Claims <u>NONE</u>	YESNO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-59 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Blumenkranz et al.

Claims 1-59 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus are industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: 'Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added.
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: 'Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. (Where originally there were 14 clasms and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 - "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added.

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a presidence of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a presidence of such amendments (and of any statement) and the such amendments (and of any statement) are such as a such translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in certain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not act as International Searching Authority and where it has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b), be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a demand is made, the applicant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority a reply to the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 43bis.1(c)).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.